

## A method for independent validation of inverse modeling: application to CO<sub>2</sub>

**Problem:** Net carbon fluxes are inferred from CO2 concentrations, e.g. OCO-2, using inverse modeling. Indirect validation approaches compare modeled CO2 to independent data, e.g., aircraft.

What fluxes drive the agreement between predicted and independent concentration data?

Liu and Bowman, GRL, 2016, developed an adjoint method that attributes differences between predicted and independent data back to surface fluxes.

- Using a Carbon Monitoring System Flux (CMS-Flux)
   Observing System Simulation Experiment (OSSE),
   posterior (after inversion) CO2 error is 50% less
   than prior.
- Only sub-equatorial Amazonian fluxes (in blue) drive improved CO2 agreement.
- Method is being applied to validate inversions with GOSAT and OCO-2 data.
- Can be used by ATom and ACT-America to validate regional and global inverse models.

Mean RMS
difference
between modeled
and aircraft CO2,
which are located
at 4 locations in the Amazon

red: RMS(Cpost)
blue: RMS(Cprior)

Prior: before inversion

Posterior: after inversion





